RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

♠ Fish & Richardson p.c.

APR 0 6 2006

PTO/SE/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x, QMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

		Docket Number:
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR	REVIEW	07844-413001
	Application Num	iber Filed
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.	09/782,596	February 12, 2001
Office off the date motested select.	First Named Inve	entor
April 6, 2006 Date of Deposit	Natheniel M. Mo	Cully
Signature & Curus - for	Art Unit	Examiner
Leticia A. Cuevas-Hernandez Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate	2176	Robert M. Stevens
This request is being filed with a Notice of The review is requested for the reason(s) so Note: No more than five (5) pages I am the	stated on the att	
applicant/inventor.		3-6-
	-	Signature
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b)		Signature
is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	-	Brian J. Gustafson
		Typed or printed name
attorney or agent of record 52,978		(650) 839-5070
(Reg. No.)		Telephone number
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	_	April 6, 2006
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34		Date
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the signature is required, see below.	e entire interest or their rep	ovesentative(s) are r equire d. Submit multiple forms if more than one

50335147.doc

FISH & RICHARDSON

APR 0 6 2006

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-413001 / P377

2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Nathaniel M. McCully

Art Unit : 2176

Serial No.: 09/782,596

Examiner: Robert M. Stevens

Filed Title

: February 12, 2001

: METHOD FOR ALIGNING TEXT TO BASELINE GRIDS AND TO CJK

CHARACTER GRIDS

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

The brief is in response to legal and factual deficiencies in the final Office Action mailed November 29, 2005, and the Advisory Action mailed February 17, 2006.

Claims 1-16 are currently pending, of which claims 1, 8, 15, and 16 are independent. Claims 1-2, 4-9, and 11-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,803,629 ("Neville") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,245,676 ("Spitz"). Claims 3 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Neville and Spitz in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,852,447 ("Hosoya").

I. The cited art does not disclose or suggest demarcating an arrangement region if the height of the text is larger than a specified dimension for the grid.

Claim 1 recites instructions to determine the height of text having of a plurality of characters to be arranged within a current line in a grid displayed on a display device. If the determined height of the text is larger then a specified dimension for the grid, an arrangement region is demarcated that includes the current line and at least one subsequent line in the grid. Thus, an arrangement region is demarcated when the text height is larger then a specified dimension of the grid. As a result, the text which has a height larger than a grid dimension is

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated

April 6, 2006

Date of Transmission

Leticia A. Quevas-Hernandez

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signine Certificate

PAGE 3/10 * RCVD AT 4/6/2006 5:05:03 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/35 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:6508395071 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-34

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-413001 / P377

FISH & RICHARDSON

Applicant: Nathaniel M. McCully

Serial No.: 09/782,596 Filed: February 12, 2001

Page : 2 of 4

arranged within a demarcated region including the current line and at least one subsequent line in the grid. By arranging the text within the demarcated region, the text does not impinge or overlap text to be arranged in other adjacent lines of the grid due to the text's large height relative to the grid lines.

The Examiner states that Neville discloses demarcating an arrangement region if the height of the text is larger than a specified dimension for the grid in FIG. 1 and FIGS. 7-9 of Neville. The applicant respectfully disagrees. Each of the cited figures of Neville discloses different character properties. FIG. 1 shows a representative pair of characters, which are illustrated to describe the various character properties and associated typesetting terminology. For example, FIG. 1 illustrates the concepts of an origin point, baseline, character contours, font height, font height below the baseline, and character width. See col. 1, lines 32-43. Neville discloses that this information may be used to establish kerning offsets for adjacent characters (i.e., spacing between particular character pairs). See col. 1, lines 44-45.

Similarly, FIGS. 7-9 merely disclose examples of other character aspects. FIG. 7 illustrates parameters that define a font bounding box for characters in a particular font. See col. 5, lines 66-67. The parameters include a maximum character height, a maximum width, and a lowest character (i.e., height below the baseline) for a character in the font. See col. 8, lines 21-25; FIG. 7. FIG. 8, like FIG. 1, illustrates nomenclature for various character properties including width components such as character width and spacing width between a pair of characters. See col. 6, lines 1-2; col. 8, lines 32-38. Finally, FIG. 9 discloses an example of a bounding box surrounding a particular character of a font. See col. 6, line 3; col. 8, lines 59-60.

In each of the figures cited by the examiner, the characters are illustrated with reference to character boxes (e.g., bounding boxes) sized for each individual character. The cited figures of Neville, however, do not disclose or suggest demarcating an arrangement region if the height of text is larger then a specified dimension for a grid. No characters are shown in the cited figures of Neville as having a height which exceeds a grid dimension. Therefore, the cited figures of Neville do not disclose or suggest text height as exceeding a specified dimension for a grid. Even if the shown bounding boxes were considered a grid, they are sized for the particular characters and thus the characters can not have a height that exceeds a dimension of the bounding box. Consequently, there is no disclosure or suggestion in the cited figures that an

Attorney's Docker No.: 07844-413001 / P377

Applicant: Nathaniel M. McCully

Serial No.: 09/782,596 Filed: February 12, 2001

grid, as required by claim 1.

Page: 3 of 4

arrangement region is demarcated if the height of text is larger than a specified dimension for a

The examiner further states in the Advisory Action mailed February 17, 2006, that col. 12, line 63 to col. 13, line 7 discloses demarcating an arrangement region if the height of the text is larger than a specified dimension for the grid. The applicant respectfully disagrees. Col. 12, line 63 to col. 13, line 7 reads, in pertinent part, as follows.

Alternatively, the default intercharacter spacing can be determined using the height-to-width ratio of the font. This may be the ratio of height to width in the capital "H" character (or an average of a number of specific characters in the font), and a percentage of this ratio is used as the Default Spacing Value. Using this approach, the height-to-width ratio would add more space to condensed (tall and thin) fonts, where additional space is needed between characters to increase type legibility. Fonts with a height-to-width ratio close to 1 would receive less spacing, and extended fonts (wider than tall), with a height-to-width ratio less than 1, would receive the least spacing.

The cited section of Neville discloses the adjustment of spacing between individual characters in a line of text according to the height-to-width ratio of the font. The examiner states that this ratio is the same as the claimed height determination for demarcating an arrangement region. The applicant respectfully disagrees. The cited section does not disclose or suggest any grid. The only factor used to determine whether or not to adjust the spacing is how the height of a character relates to its width. This ratio is unrelated to any grid the characters may be associated with. Consequently, the height-to-width ratio can indicate increased or decreased intercharacter spacing regardless of whether the height exceeds a grid dimension. Therefore, the cited section does not disclose or suggest demarcating an arrangement region if the height of the text is larger than a specified dimension for the grid.

Attorncy's Docket No.: 07844-413001 / P377

Applicant: Nathaniel M. McCully

Serial No.: 09/782,596

Filed: February 12, 2001

Page

: 4 of 4

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6 April 2006

Brian J. Gustafson Reg. No. 52,978

Customer No. 21876 Fish & Richardson P.C.

Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

50335148.doc